Considering research impacts along the way in a project on agricultural transition pathways

Marine Pichot (1), Armelle Lainé Penel (2), Denis Follet (3), Claudine Thenail (4), Souhil Harchaoui (1), Valérie Viaud (1) / Contact: marine.pichot@inrae.fr (1) UMR 1069 SAS INRAE, Rennes France (2) Institut Agro, Rennes France (3) Chambre Régionale d'Agriculture de Bretagne, Rennes France (4) UMR 0980 BAGAP INRAE, Rennes France

Research topic

Renewing productions in quality-quantity while closing cycles of biomass and energy and redeveloping landscape functions:

what kinds of conciliation between such issues in livestock farms and territories?

AMPERA project^[1] (metabolism and landscape approach for a territorialised agro-ecological transition in livestock farming)

Collaborative inter- and trans-disciplinary project:

- Partners: 6 research laboratories (dealing with livestock farming/agronomy, environment/ecology, geography and law/policies), the agricultural chamber of Brittany, the French Livestock Institute and agricultural education structures
- Stakeholders from 2 territories (here, municipalities associations): farmers, local authorities, water managers...

Consideration and evaluation of our targeted research impacts along the research process: how to better manage the research process, based on expected transformations and targeted impacts?

Materials and methods: working collectively on desired transformations and transformations pathway:

(1) Experiment of ASIRPA (Socio-Economic Analysis of the Impacts of Public Agricultural Research) in itinere methodology^[2,3]. Method positioning: transformations involve "a long-term process that needs the involvement of multiple stakeholders"[3];

a better understanding of the mechanisms leading to the desired transformations enables

the research process to be more effective in contributing to these transformations.

Context of the project Expected Long-term R&D Intermediaries Intermediaries Short-term transformations activities research transformations outputs -Deep and systemic What will help -Deep and systemic What will enable Direct Actions of changes, 10 years translate short-term changes, 1-5 years to translate project productions the post-project post-project transformations into outcomes into of the research long-term short-term project project - Can involve a wider -Involve a first circle transformations? transformations? circle of stakeholders of stakeholders Fig 1: Transformations pathway (adapted from Asirpa in itinere)

One of our method adaptation: defining transformations as systemic changes, which may concern knowledge production, teaching, agrosystems, ecosystems, socioecological systems, etc. They involve distinct targets (e.g. farmers, resources, public decision-makers...).

(2) 1st stage of implementation: designing a transformation pathway (Fig 1) from workshops and individual interviews (both with partners and stakeholders).

First results:

- Under-estimated diversity of vision of agriculture development and agroecology (Fig 2).
- Building a common definition of these transformations is not obvious.
- Following steps: (1) making the definition of agroecology more explicit, and clarifying the way in which the production function is assessed; (2) specifying the transformation pathway and get the partners and territories stakeholders to share their visions.

Desired long-term transformations Scale **R&D** activities Working on methods and Farmers have acquired the means and knowledges to: resources to implement agro-ecological Farms changes in their practices identify and assess Brittany livestock farming has evolved in line agro-ecological levers with the desired changes. Different points of with a focus group of view: farmers Farms + -Maintaining milk production in Brittany territories -Maintaining farms, especially grasslands develop shared -Diversification of farms and relocation of part representations of the of the food production needed in the region contributions of livestock farming to the Agro-ecological transformations are multifunctionality of managed collectively, with a permanent Territories the regions in which forum for exchange, coordination and they are located with

Fig 2: examples of R&D activities and of long-term desired transformations (3 out of 14)

decision-making

Discussion:

We expect this study to enable us to (1) identify common grounds and ways of managing discrepancies among scientists from various disciplines and among scientists and non-scientists; (2) identify points of attention to manage the project in a collaborative way, and (3) adjust the research objectives and actions along the way.

local stakeholders

https://www.tetrae.fr/les-projets/ampera

^[2]Joly, P. B., Matt, m., Gaunand, A., Colinet, I., Larédo, P. and Lemarié, S. (2015). ASIRPA: a comprehensive theory-based approach to assess societal impacts of a research organization,

^[3]Matt, M., D. K. R. Robinson, P.-B. Joly, R. Van Dis and L. Colinet (2023). ASIRPA Real-Time in the making or how to empower researchers to steer research towards desired societal goals,

→ Also see G. Volpini's PhD research study.









